

HPC ASSIGNMENT 2 – PARALLELIZING CUDA

GROUP 3 – STEFANO PIGOZZI, CATERINA GAZZOTTI AND FABIO ZANICHELLI

Optimization on initialization

ORIGINAL SEQUENTIAL CODE

```
/* Array initialization. */
static void init_array(int nx, int ny,
                      DATA_TYPE POLYBENCH_2D(A, NX, NY, nx, ny),
                      DATA_TYPE POLYBENCH_1D(x, NY, ny))
{
    int i, j;

    for (i = 0; i < ny; i++)
        x[i] = i * M_PI;
    for (i = 0; i < nx; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < ny; j++)
            A[i][j] = ((DATA_TYPE)i * (j + 1)) / nx;
}
```

OPTIMIZED CODE ON DEVICE

Optimization on `kernel_atax`

ORIGINAL SEQUENTIAL CODE

OPTIMIZED CODE ON DEVICE

What's the speedup?

- The optimized version takes 0,538 seconds to execute all the program
- So, the speedup is $\frac{\textit{old time}}{\textit{new time}} = \frac{0,278}{1,89} = 6,79$
- Where do we achieve this speedup?

Profiling

- Is it better to optimize the initialization or the `kernel_atax` function?
 - Obviously both
- Blablabla su dove c'è più speedup blablabla

Experiments on other datasets

	Mini dataset	Small dataset	Standard dataset	Large dataset	Extralarge dataset
Sequential times	$1,33 * 10^{-5}s$	0,00751s	0,419s	1,89s	4,42s
Optimized times	$1,77 * 10^{-3}s$	0,0108s	0,0647s	0,278s	0,665s
Speedup	0,0075	0,69	6,48	6,79	6,64

*Speedups written in red are slowdowns

OpenMP vs CUDA

	Speedup with OpenMP	Speedup with CUDA
Mini dataset	0,476	0,0075
Small dataset	3,116	0,69
Standard dataset	3,273	6,48
Large dataset	3,53	6,79

For mini dataset there is no best option than sequential code; OpenMP has only less slowdown

Thanks for the attention!